Of

WITNESSES

Defense' Witnesses	. Page
HASUMI, Yasushi	25047
Direct by Mr. Logan	25047
(Witness excused)	25052

Of

EXHIBITS

Doc.	Def.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
	2783		Excerpt from the Officia Gazette of 3 August 1937 - Speech of Mr. KOGURE re the Intro- duction of the Bill Concerning Adjustment of Foreign Trade Be- fore the House of Representatives on 2 August 1937	25027
1746	2784		Extract from the Official Gazette of 6 August 1937 - Statement of Government Commissions OTA re the Gold Production Law	
1723	2785		Excerpt from the Offici Gazette of 5 Septembe 1937 - Speech of Mr. YOSHINO re the Bill relating to Imports a Exports	
175	1 2786		Excerpt from the Offici Gazette of 6 September 1937 - Speech of Mr. NAGAI re the Bill for the Temporary Control of Shipping	
179	7 2787		Excerpt from the Office Gazette of 10 Septem 1937 re Law Providing for Emergency Trading in Rice	g

0f

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

					100
Doc.	Def.	Pros. No.	Description	For Ident Ev	In idence
1843	2788		The Temporary Fund Adjust ment Law No. 86, passe 10 September 1937	đ	25045
1955	2789		Affidavit of HASUMI, Yasu		25050
1798	2790		Excerpt from the Official Gazette of 10 Septembe 1937 - re the Temporar Law Controlling Shippi	y	25052
1799	2791		Law Relating to Temporary Measures Concerning Exports and Imports promulgated on 10 September 1937		25053
	2792		Book entitled "Stenograph Record No. 6 of the pr ceedings of the 73rd Session of the House of Representatives, the Imperial Diet, 25 January 1938	-0-	
1726	2792-1		excerpt therefrom - Speed of Minister NAGAI re to Introduction of the for Bills re Control of Electric Power	the	25055
1727	2792 - F	3	Excerpt from the Official Gazette of 23 February 1938 - Statement of Committeeman KOGURE re Bill to promote the production of important Minerals	y 9	25058

Qf

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Do N	c. o.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description	For In Ident.Evidence
17	38	2792-0		Excerpt from the Official Gazette of 25 February 1938 - Speech of Mr. SAITO re the National General Mobilization Bill	25061
17	29	2793		Excerpt from the Official Gazette - Statement of Committeeman KOGURE re the Introduction of the Bill for the Machine Tool Industry	25063
17	49	2794		Excerpt from the Official Gazette of 18 March 1938 - Statement of Prince KONOYE re the National Mobilization Bill	25065
		2795		Book entitled "Stenographi Record No. 24 of the proceedings of the 74th Session of the House of Representatives, the Imperial Diet, 17 March 1939	
17	769	2795 - A		Excerpt from the Official Gazette - Speech of Minister YOSHIAKI re the Introduction of the Bill for Light- Metals Manufacturing Industries	25073
				MORNING RECESS	25076

Of

EXHIBITS

(cont'd)

Doc.	Def. No.	Pros.	Description For Ident	In Evidence
	2796		Book entitled "Stenographic Record No. 24 of the proceedings of the 75th Session of the House of Representatives, the Imperial Diet, 11 March 1940 25076	
1840	2796 - A		Excerpt from the Official Gazette - Speech of Minister FUJIWARA re the Bill for Coal Supply Control Law	25076
1841	2796-E		Excerpt from the Official Gazette - Statement by Mr. KATO re the Bill relating to Synthetic Chemical Industries	25078
755	2797		U.S. Dept of State Interim Research and Intelligence Service Report, 31 October 1945 - Japanese War Pro- duction Industries, Part I - Development of War Production Controls	25090
			NOON RECESS	25104
1836	2798		Book entitled "Imperial Economic Conference at Ottawa in 1932" 25108	. **
			AFTERNOON RECESS	25130

Wednesday, 6 August 1947

1 2

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

14

12

14

15 16

17

19

20

22

24 25 INTERNATIONAL MILITARY TRIBUNAL FOR THE FAR EAST Court House of the Tribunal War Ministry Building Tokyo, Japan

The Tribunal met, pursuant to adjournment,

Appearances:

at 0930.

For the Tribunal, all Members sitting, with the exception of: HONORABLE JUSTICE STUART McDOUGALL, Member from the Dominion of Canada; HONORABLE JUSTICE I. M. ZARAYANOV, Member from the USSR; and HONORABLE JUSTICE E. H. NORTHCROFT, Member from the Dominion of New Zealand, not sitting from 0930 to 1600.

For the Prosecution Section, same as before. For the Defense Section, same as before.

(English to Japanese and Japanese to English interpretation was made by the Language Section, IMTFE.)

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International K 1 n 2 p 3 p 4 & Military Tribunal for the Far East is now in session. THE PRESIDENT: I would like to point out that the Member from China was present during the Y 6 6 1 7 e 8 whole of the day yesterday. He was present at all sessions. The record suggests otherwise. Mr. Logan. 9 10 11 12 13 14 15

22

16

17

19

20

21

24

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, we next offer in evidence defense document 1745, an excerpt from the Official Gazette of August 3rd, 1937. This document sets forth the speech of Mr. KOGURE on the introduction of the Bill Concerning Adjustment of Foreign Trade before the House of Representatives on August 2nd, 1937. THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1745 11 will receive exhibit No. 2783. 12 (Whereupon, the document above 13 referred to was marked defense exhibit 14 No. 2783 and received in evidence.) MR. LOGAN: I will now read exhibit 2783, 15 16 "Shorthand Records of the Proceedings of the House 17 of Representatives in the 71st Session of the 18 Imperial Diet," August 2, 1937. 19 "The Government Delegate (Mr. KOGURE, 20 Budayu): 21 "I shall explain the reason for the Bill 22 Concerning Adjustment of Foreign Trade and its 23

24 25

4

6

7

8

9

related Industries which comes foremost of the four bills now placed on the agenda. It goes without saying that to expect our national economic development, it is necessary to plan for the expansion of foreign trade. As you all know, fortunately our trade in these past years has continued comparatively favorably. However, measures taken lately by foreign countries for preventing our goods from being imported is a situation not easily pacified. Under these circumstances in order to plan for the maintenance and development of our foreign trade, it is necessary to have preparations made so as to quickly establish effective and appropriate measures in preventing or pacifying these preventative measures as much as possible by considering the various conditions of the country concerned. Moreover in viewing the present foreign and domestic situations our situation necessitates amplification of productive power, and for this purpose, we must bring about an increase in importing a great deal of necessary raw materials, etc., and international transactions do not by any means permit a situation of optimism. Moreover, the necessity for planning in conformity with international transactions is originally to necessitate the supply of important

19

20

1

2

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

21

22 23

24

commodities properly. In achieving these purposes, the application of control to both the importing and exporting becomes necessary and as a result the adjustment of interests of industries concerned is also necessary. The government, however, in bringing this about, would like to enforce it as much as possible as the autonomous control of the firms concerned. In the event the control of foreign trade becomes necessary, or in regards to the control already enforced, if there arises a clash in interests between foreign trade groups and that of the domestic industries trade, we would like to enforce it so that it would open a way towards a policy of adjusting interests, or autonomous control by the firms concerned in an appropriate way. In the event the firms concerned are not able to autonomously enforce these policies, and the government particularly recognizes its necessity, then we would like to open a way so that the government can order its enforcement for the entire firms concerned with the approval of the authoritative investigation organ. Moreover recently, since the foreign and domestic economic situation is unstable, there may arise a case where it is unable to wait for the enforcement of the autonomous

.

21

2

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

22

21

and we can also expect a case of insufficiency in their control. In these circumstances, the government still would like to open a way so that it can limit or prohibit the import and export with the approval of the authoritative investigation organ. As this is a bill relating to the adjustment of industries concerned and the foreign trade, it is originally a temporary measure to cope with the pending international situation; therefore its

effective period has been limited to five years.

"Secondly, I shall explain the reason for the trade guilds and its proposals. The government has heretofore, along with adequate measures, and the Employment of Export Association System, enforced for instance, the joint-enterprises in investigation, development, etc. of foreign markets, and the control of export in compliance with the foreign situations, and in this manner has continued to this day by planning for the expansion of export trade. However, summoning the actual results of the employment of the Export-Guilds, it is recognized, at this instance, the necessity for continued adjustment of export control mechanism. For example, in order to enforce export control

without delay'by coping with the impending overseas conditions there are circumstances where the voluntary establishment of the guild cannot be awaited. In those circumstances it is necessary for the government to be able to order coercive establishment, and actually it is also necessary that there will be no gap in the control upon the extension of the sphere to which the control of the guild is to be exercised. And on the other hand, in the case of import trade, we have come to systematize the import trade by newly establishing an import guild system as it was necessary to enforce control on import in order to restrict import from some countries, and also to have import goods markets dispersed from the standpoint of trade adjustments, national policy for raw materials, and others. And towards those countries reciprocating trade by requesting the buying of goods of their country, through the mutual cooperation of the import and the export guilds, it is necessary to also enforce joint undertakings of opening the market, etc. together with planning for the purchase

of goods of that country. Furthermore, in order

tion of the federation of the import and export

to plan for the liaison, development, and propaga-

23 24

1

3

4

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

2

3

5

6 7

8

9 10

11 12

13 14

15 16

17 18

> 19 20

21 22

23

24

25

guilds, it is necessary to establish a central society of the trade guilds. This bill was legislated for these purposes and the present export guild law is intended to be abolished.

"Next I shall explain the reasons for the proposal of a bill for the amendment of the Industrial Guilds Law. Upon observing the conditions of the medium and smaller scale industries of our country, the greatest defect lies in the manufacture and sale of salt where the non-control and disorder of industrial circles originates. This is a matter of great import where it eventually impedes the development of trade. These evils are gradually being reformed through the development of the industrial guild system, but as there is a regrettable point in connection with the method of securing control, we recognized the need for planning this arrangement. Particularly since, in the recent situation of international trade, as I have already mentioned, there is an urgent nature of securing the import and export control. Therefore, in order to plan for the smooth enforcement, an appropriate system is necessary for control on the part of the industrialists concerned in coping with the trade control. Hence, at this juncture, by realizing

afresh the industrial guild system which makes control its principal, together with expanding the 1 applicable sphere of the industrial guild law, we 2 shall devise a method of securing control and the amplification of the industrial guild control system. At the same time, together with this, we 6 here are planning an appropriate control to cope with the present actual situation of industrial economy by arranging the industrial guild system

We offer in evidence defense document 1746 which is an extract from the Official Gazette setting forth the stenographic record of statement of Government Commissioner OTA on the Gold Production Law which was introduced on August 5, 1937, and seven other bills.

such as supplementing the superintendence regula-

tions toward the industrial guilds."

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

All that is of value in these documents could be reduced to a few lines, and we have to listen here to the whole of this meandering stuff.

MR. LOGAN: I only have about seven more, your Honor.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1746

3

5

8

9 10

11

12 13

> 14 15

16

17 18

19

20

21

22 23

24

will receive exhibit No. 2784.

.5

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 2784 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Exhibit 2784, "Stenographic

Records of Proceedings of the House of Peers," held
on August 5, 1937.

"Government Commissioner OTA, Masataka,

--- I will explain the government intention in

proposing the Bill of the Gold Production Law and

seven other bills now under discussion. First, I

will begin with the Bill of the Gold Production Law.

"In view of the present circumstances at home and abroad, there is a pressing need to improve and adjust the international trade of Japan.

"In order that this may be successfully carried out, I think we must first increase the national output of gold and concentrate it in the hands of the government, and thus enhance the nation's paying power in foreign trade and at the same time consolidate the foundation of the gold reserve. The government has been endeavoring to increase and concentrate the domestic production of gold by frequently raising the price of gold to be purchased by the Bank of Japan. Nevertheless, we

are facing the necessity of more intensive production of gold. At present, however, taking a step further, the government deems it necessary in the future to place the whole process of gold production, up to the final refinement, under government supervision, in order to attain the aim of concentration. As for domestic demands for gold, the government is planning to take adequate measures to meet the demands, provided that these demands are just and well-grounded. As for the melting down of gold articles we are not intending to change the present regulations. But I think it is reasonable that, if necessary, a certain restriction should be laid upon the use of gold. The government will supervise the industry of gold production on one hand. On the other hand, however, it should encourage enterpreneurs to produce more gold, by means of government protection and assistance, by granting subsidies for discovery of new mines or of plants and refineries etc., within the limits of the budget, or by granting exemption from import taxes on tools and machinery which are needed. It is for the above reasons that this bill has been proposed.

"Next I shall explain the Bill of the

0

20

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

25

Gold Reserve Revaluation Law. The gold to be reserved as guarantee of our convertible banknotes, the banknotes of the CHOSEN Bank and those of the TAIWAN Bank is at present valued at the rate of one yen per 750 milligrammes of pure gold, according to Article II of the Currency Law, notwithstanding the fact that the current price of gold has risen remarkably. Therefore it will be a fit measure to revalue the gold kept as the reserve fund at a price near the international current quotation so that the gold reserve fund may be valued at its actual quotation. By this bill, however, we only intend to adjust the price of gold to be appropriated as the reserve fund, because we don't think the time is yet ripe for us to revise the Currency Law, and to determine the value of our currency through devaluation. Accordingly, we intend to revalue the yen at the rate of 290 milligrammes of pure gold per yen, leaving ten per cent of the current price in reserve. Surplus sums accruing from the revaluation to the Bank of Japan, the CHOSEN Bank and the TAIWAN Bank will be transferred to the government, and a special fund will be established with these surplus sums to be managed under a special account, as will be shown later. A part of the gold bullion held by

reason of the necessity for creating a special account for this reserve fund revenue, the expenditure of which must be distinguished from that of the general account."

7 8

1 2

3

6

7 8

9

11 12

13

14 15

16 17

18 19

21 22

20

23

25

We now offer in evidence defense document 1723 which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of September 5, 1937 recording the speech of Mr. YOSHINO, Shinji, Minister of State, explaining the bill relating to imports and exports at the time it was introduced in the Diet.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1723

will receive exhibit No. 2785.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2785 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read exhibit 2785, excerpt from the shorthand records, House of Representatives, September 5, 1937:

"State Minister (Mr. YOSHINO, Shinji): "With regard to the bill under discussion, which is the 8th item on today's agenda, I shall now explain the reasons why we propose it.

"In view of the development of the present incident, it is self-evident that we must mould our economic and industrial system into a form suitable to the present emergency. Especially, since there is a vast demand for the materials which are necessary for military and national defense purposes and for various

branches of industry which are vital to the present emergency, we must make efforts to supply these materials fully and smoothly. However, due to the present condition of our national resources, there are not a few materials which must be imported from foreign countries to meet the imminent demand. Then there arises the necessity, in view of our international trade balance, to increase the import of necessary materials by means of a curb on export of materials and a curb on import not only of materials which are not needed vitally but also of those which are useful more or less to our national industry. This is the reason that this bill provides that the Government prohibit or restrain the export and import as occasion demands. And if we were to place the import of materials under control without taking any special measure, the movement of the national economy would suffer great difficulties, due to unreasonable rise of prices and insecurity of supply, etc. Therefore this bill provides that the Government may, as occasion calls, take proper measures in the case the materials which need regulation in connection with the supply and demand for them.

"The above mentioned are the two key points of this bill. Inasmuch as the working of such a law

200

3

21

1

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

23

24

will vitally affect our national industries, we hope that in enforcing it, we shall be favored with the fullest cooperation of all those concerned and that we shall be able to take measures most suitable to the actual condition of our industries and trades in order to attain our aims.

only for the present emergency, and if the emergency is terminated, the bill is to be abolished as soon as possible. I hope you will approve it after fully examining it."

We next offer in evidence defense document 1751, an excerpt from the Official Gazette of September 6, 1937. This document sets forth the speech of Mr. NAGAI, Minister of State, before the House of Representatives on September 5, 1937, concerning the bill for the temporary control of shipping.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1751

will receive exhibit No. 2786.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2786 and was received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: We now read exhibit 2786, being stenographic record of proceedings in the House of

(2)

Representatives on September 5, 1937:

3 4 5

1

6

9

11 12

13

14

16 17

19

20

22

24

"Minister of State (NAGAI, Ryutaro): I should like to make a brief explanation of the reason for presenting the bill for the temporary control of shipping which had just come up for discussion. It goes without saying that the marine transportation industry hold an extremely important position not only from the standpoint of economics but also from one of national defense; especially in case of a war or time of emergency it grows increasingly important. With the gradual aggravation of the situation due to the outbreak of China Affair in July, many vessels of our country have come to be commandeered for military purposes. This meant a severe increased oppression on shipping circles in our country which has always suffered from a shortage of vessels; consequently it was anticipated that if this condition were to be left as it was, it would cause a considerable disturbance in shipping assignments and the freight market. So the Government, as an emergency measure, authorized for coastwise trade with our country foreign ships and those ships registered in the Kwantung leased territory with a view to balancing supply and demand of coastal ships, while the Government urged the industry to restrain themselves and had them make every possible

effort to rationalize shipping assignments so that they might voluntarily prevent a sudden rise in the freight market. The Government has thus made its utmost efforts to minimize its possible effect upon economic activity. At present the interests concerned, in accordance with the Government's intention, are endeavoring, in close cooperation, to accomplish their mission. But in case the present situation continues for a long time or worsens, we can never be sure that there will be no apprehension that the unity of the industry might be endangered and unexpected difficulty caused in the fields of economy of national defense. Under these circumstances the Government has presented the foregoing bill to exercise proper supervision over the shipping industry of our country so as to cope with any difficult situation whatsoever which might come in the future and for the successful operation of the shipping business along the lines of national necessity in the present emergency, such as transportation of staple goods, control of prices or maintenance of the right of overseas navigation. But it is a matter, of course, that in executing the said bill successfully, that the Government should make the best use of it with discretion, facing squarely the present state of the shipping business and in the

20

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

21

23

24

light of the actual conditions of the industry. On this point, the Government is now paying special attention."

We offer in evidence defense document 1797 which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of September 10, 1937 recording the law providing for emergency trading in rice which became effective December 1, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1797

will receive exhibit No. 2787.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2787 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read from exhibit 2787. I shall only read Article 2 and Supplementary Law, Law Providing for Emergency Trading in Rice, September 10, 1937, effective December 1, 1937:

"Article II. Since the Government maintains a necessary amount of rice in connection with the China Incident, when it is especially considered necessary it shall be authorized, in accordance with the provisions set forth in the Imperial Ordinance, and after consultation with the Rice Control Committee, to purchase rice in case current prices are lower than

that which correspond to the rate of 'standard maximum prices' which are fixed by orders issued based upon the Rice Control Regulations. The purchase price of the above shall be decided in accordance with current prices. * * *

"Supplementary Law. The date of enforcing this law shall be decided by an Imperial Ordinance and the law shall be abolished within a year after the China Incident terminates."

We now offer in evidence defense document
1843 which is the Temporary Fund Adjustment Law
No. 86 passed September 10, 1937 and effective September 15, 1937 and September 27, 1937. I shall read only
Article 1 and the supplementary provisions.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1843

will receive exhibit No. 2788.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2788 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read from exhibit 2788, the Temporary Fund Adjustment Law:

"(Article II was put into force on September 15, 1937 and the remaining Articles were put into force on September 27, 1937.)

"Article 1. This Law is aimed at adjusting the use of domestic funds so as to conform to the demand and supply of commodities and funds in connection with the China Incident."

And the supplementary provisions on page 8:

"The dates on which each Article of this Law
will be put into force shall be determined by Imperial
Ordinance.

"With the exception of Articles 14 and 15, this Law shall be repealed within one year following the cessation of the China Incident."

I now call the witness, HASUMI, Yasushi.

YASUSHI HASUMI, called as a witness on behalf of the defense, being first duly sworn, testified through Japanese interpreters as follows:

DIRECT EXAMINATION

BY MR. LOGAN:

Q Will you tell us your name and address?

A HASUMI, Yasushi; 56 Nakadoji-machi, Suginamiku, Tokyo City.

Q Will you examine defense document 1955 which is now being handed to you and tell us if that is your affidavit?

A Yes.

Q Are the statements contained in that affidavit true and accurate?

A Yes.

MR. LOGAN: I offer in evidence defense document 1955.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution submits that this affidavit should be rejected in its entirety as being irrelevant and immaterial. It deals solely with the food problems of Japan and shows the steps taken to increase food production. It contains a mass of statistics. Apparently the conclusion is reached that Japan needs to

11

10

7

2

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

21

25

import some food. The deponent goes back to the year 1926 and even earlier in his examination. It is submitted that if every statement in the affidavit were accepted as true, it should be rejected as being too remote to the issues in the case. In our submission it is on exactly the same footing as the statistics and other material with respect to population that were submitted and rejected by the Tribunal

DIRECT

earlier.

25,049

Duda & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: If it be relevant, the actual rice situation in Japan, the supply and the demand, over the years could be dealt with in a few lines and could be the subject of an admission by the parties.

MR. LOGAN: With regard to the statistics contained in the document, your Honor, I don't intend to read them to save time, except to refer to the totals and the statistics on page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand that the whole affidavit is summed up in two paragraphs on page 5. The two paragraphs cover ten lines. Probably the truth is not contested.

MR. LOGAN: Which two paragraphs does your Honor refer to?

THE PRESIDENT: The one commencing, "The above explanation ..." and that following.

AR. LOGAN: Of course, the balance of the affidavit gives a background of the rice situation in Japan, which always has been important and very critical. I would be content to read the balance of page 5, commencing with that first paragraph, "The above explanation ..." I think we are all content with that.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we admit the document to that extent.

Duda & Spratt

THE PRESIDENT: If it be relevant, the actual rice situation in Japan, the supply and the demand, over the years could be dealt with in a few lines and could be the subject of an admission by the parties.

MR. LOGAN: With regard to the statistics contained in the document, your Honor, I don't intend to read them to save time, except to refer to the totals and the statistics on page 3.

THE PRESIDENT: I understand that the whole affidavit is summed up in two paragraphs on page 5. The two paragraphs cover ten lines. Probably the truth is not contested.

MR. LOGAN: Which two paragraphs does your Honor refer to?

THE PRESIDENT: The one commencing, "The above explanation ..." and that following.

AR. LOGAN: Of course, the balance of the affidavit gives a background of the rice situation in Japan, which always has been important and very critical. I would be content to read the balance of page 5, commencing with that first paragraph, "The above explanation ..." I think we are all content with that.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we admit the document to that extent.

25,050

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1955 will receive exhibit No. 2789.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2789 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall read exhibit 2789, deposition of HASUMI, Yasushi, commencing on page 5:

"The above explanation tells the fact that the food production in our country has been always lack of supply equal to her demand. Accordingly, our government has made great efforts for the improvement of agriculture, the improvement and enlargement of farms, the guarantee of farmers' reproduction costs by maintaining of the price of rice, main food in Japan, and so forth, and the government has obtained good results.

"Nevertheless, in spite of the government's great efforts for many years, the sufficient food production to feed out large population has not been successful.

"Therefore, the amount of shortage has been brought in from Korea and Formosa, as well as imported from abroad every year to supply the demand.

"The latest problem of food shortage has become more important under the circumstances of the

7 8

continuous dry weather in Japan proper and Korea in 1939.

3

2

1

9

10

11 12

13 14

16

15

17 18

19 20

> 21 22

23 24

"The standard highest price of rice, regulated by the Control Law for Cereal, in December 1938, has been kept at the same price as that of the preceding year according to the low price policy, so that the appearance of cereal in markets became worse. Then, in 1939, the damage by draught in Japan proper created a shortage of the crops of rice. In addition to this the great draught in Korea decreased the supply more and more.

"In order to moderate this condition the government raised the standard highest price of rice to 38 yen on 25 August 1939. Nevertheless, as the influence of the draught became apparent and the supply condition did not improve, then the government made an effort by raising again the standard highest price of rice on 1940 for the rice year (beginning of November of preceding year, ending of October) to 43 yen, 5 yen higher than the former price, commencing of the rice year on 6 November 1939. But it was reported that the production in Korea might be 10,000,000 koku, less as the production in 1939 would be 14,078,842 koku and that of the preceding year was 23,484,867 koku. Furthermore, the consumption in Korea itself was gradually increasing, resulting in a great decrease of

supply from there, thus the extreme shortage of food in Japan proper became serious.

"Under this condition, the only way to increase food supply was to import from abroad food supply by saving expenses on the one hand, an import plan through the cereal fiscal year was not fixed owing to the financial condition of the import fund. On the other hand, it was necessary to supply cereal by importing some portion divided into several periods through the fiscal year."

You may examine.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: We do not cross-examine, if it please your Honor.

MR. LOGAN: May the witness be released on the usual terms?

(Whereupon, the witness was excused.)

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 1798, which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of September 10, 1937, setting forth the Temporary Law Controlling Shipping. We shall read only Article 1 on page 1 and supplement on page 5.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1798

will receive exhibit No. 2790.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2790 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: We read from exhibit 2790:

"Temporary Law Controlling Shipping.

"Law No. 93 of 10th Sept. 1937.

"Effective 1st Oct. 1937.

"Art. 1. The purpose of this law is to regulate hereby maritime communications and traffic at large in connection with the China Incident."

And, "Supplementary Provisions.

"The date of enforcement of this law shall be fixed by an Imperial Ordinance.

"This law shall be abolished within a year after the China Incident terminates."

We offer in evidence defense document 1799, being the Law Relating to Temporary Measures Concerning Exports and Imports, promulgated on 10 September 1937 and going into effect the same day. I shall read Article 1 and Supplementary Provisions.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1799

will receive exhibit No. 2791.

(Whereupon, the document above

referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2791 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read exhibit 2791:

"Law Relating to Temporary Measures Concerning Exports and Imports.

"Law No. 92, promulgated on 10 September 1937, and coming into force on the same day.

"Article 1. If particularly necessary for safeguarding the working of national economy in connection with the China Incident, the Government may, in conformity to order, restrict or prohibit the export or the import of the specified articles.

"Supplementary Provisions.

"This Law shall come into effect on the day of its promulgation. This Law shall be abrogated within one year after the termination of the China Incident."

We now offer in evidence defense document 1726, which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of January 26, 1938, recording the speech of Minister of State NAGAI at the time of the introduction of the four bills relating to state control of electric power.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: The book entitled, "The Stenographic Records of the House of .

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

Representatives, 73rd Session," in Japanese, will receive exhibit No. 2792 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, bearing defense document ... No. 1726, will receive exhibit No. 2792A.

(Whereupon, the book above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2792 for identification; and the excerpt therefrom, being document No. 1726, was marked defense exhibit No. 2792A and received in evidence.)

. 1

MR. LOGAN: I shall read:

"Stenographic Records of the Proceedings in the House of Representatives, January 25, 1938.

"Minister of State, NAGAI.

"I would like to explain en bloc the purport of the four bills just presented relating to the projected state control of electric power. Electricity is not only indispensable to national life for lighting and heating purposes but also plays a very important part as a motive power for all industries, and at the same time as essential factor in the newly rising chemical industry which is growing more and more in importance both for national defense and industrial purposes. It is charged with no less important mission in agricultural and fishing communities to help increase productive capacity, promote subsidiary occupations and make up the shortage of labor through facilitating and increasing power supply, and thus contribute to stabilizing the living of people on the home front. The uses of electricity, as a public utility, are so manifold and extensive, and, as regards its supply it is so peculiarly monopolistic in nature, that matters relating to the development of electric power resources and its supply should not be determined from the standpoint of mere profit-making

24

1

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

or private economy. Appropriate plans for the development of this industry should be established from a national and public point of view, so as to meet the requirements of national defense, national economy and the people's livelihood. Such, I hold, should be the true spirit underlying the state policy concerning electric power supply. In our country at present, however, the electric industry is carried on for profit by many different companies independently from one another, and in addition, the conflict of interests among these companies grievously interfere with unity and coordination among themselves. The result is that their mission as a national and public utility is, in so far as their management is concerned, far from being executed satisfactorily. For this reason it is necessary that the electric industry should be placed under state control and conducted systematically and coordinately in accordance with a single directive will, so as to provide against war as well as for peace. And by doing so the electric enterprise can be, from their characteristic nature, carried on this way the most economically also.

"The Japan Electric Power Generation and Transmission Company (Nippon Hassoden Kabushiki Kaisha) is charged with an important mission to make effective use of private capital and individual ability and to carry on its business under state control. It has been decided therefore that on one hand the company be accorded facilities for raising necessary funds, granted guarantee for payment of dividends, allowed reduction or exemption of taxes and given various other privileges necessary for the conduct of its business, while on the other it be subject to government supervision much in the same way as special companies of similar nature."

Defense document 1727 is offered in evidence.

This is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of

February 23, 1938, reporting Committeeman KOGURE's

statement upon the introduction of the bill to promote
the production of important minerals, in the House
of Representatives on February 22, 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1727

will receive exhibit No. 2792-B.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2792-B and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read from exhibit No. 2792-B.

"Stenographic minutes of the proceedings in the House of Representatives on February 22, 1938.

d

"Government committeeman, Mr. KOGURE: I shall explain the reasons for the introduction of the Bill to Promote the Production of Important Minerals now in process of deliberation. It is hardly necessary to mention that mineral resources are most important from the viewpoint of national defense and industry, and therefore is of urgent necessity to promote the production of these resources in the current situation. Not a few important minerals have hitherto been imported from foreign countries, but fortunately from various surveys hitherto made, it is thought probable that these important minerals are still considerably underground in Japan as yet. It is indeed for the purpose of promoting their production that this bill has been introduced at this time. First of all, as a measure for attaining this purpose the government is going to urge mine owners who, though possessing mining rights at present, are remaining idle without exercising the same -- mine owners of the so-called sleeping mine areas -- to exercise the right, or to accelerate the adjustment of mine areas which exist together in a complex manner, thereby making mine owners of important mine areas submit a business plan for exploiting them, and furthermore, in case of necessity, take adequate measures to make facilities

5

3

7

9

10

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20

22

23

for promoting the production of such minerals.

"Next I shall explain the purport of the introduction of the bill to establish the Japan Gold Production Promotion Company now under deliberation. It goes without saying that it is necessary to promote the production of gold in our country. The government has therefore taken every effort for the encouragement and furtherance of various gold production since the 7th year of Showa (1932). As you all know in the Imperial Diet before last, that is, the 71st Session of the Diet, simultaneously with the further expansion of facilities for encouragement for gold production, the government introduced the Gold Production Law which was passed. Fortunately the output of gold in this country has recently showed a favorable upward trend. The promotion of gold production in this country will become more important in the future in carrying out our national policy. From this point of view the government is hoping to make even further efforts towards it. At this juncture, therefore, in order to promote gold production, the government has planned to establish the semi-official Japan Gold Production Promotion Company. In this way the government is opening a way to amply supply the capital to this industry, to encourage the disposition of minerals of inferior quality and at.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

1 2

the same time to have the company carry on various contributory businesses necessary in gold production.

This bill is for the establishment of the above company."

I now offer in evidence defense document

1738, which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette

of February 25, 1938, recording a speech of Mr. SAITO

with respect to the National General Mobilization Bill
in the Diet on February 24, 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: What has happened to the witness, EUEURA, Kogoro?

MR. LOGAN: There has been a change made in his affidavit, your Honor, and the errata sheet has not come around yet, so we will put him on later this afternoon, if it is agreeable.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1738

will receive exhibit No. 2792-C.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2792-C and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read exhibit 2792-C.

"Stenographic minutes of the House of Representatives, February 24, 1938.

"Mr. SAITO, Takao: The Sino-Japanese Incident has assumed serious proportions beyond our imagination.

Our policies of non-expansion principle and settlement on the spot were rendered useless immediately by the enemy's provocation. The situation since then has expanded almost incessantly by day and by month until at last it has led to the present state of affairs. Viewing matters from this present situation, we can by no means foretell the future of the incident. It must be considered as an extremely long way off before we can expect to see a complete restoration of peace in the Far East. Moreover, the incident is proving to be the source of all troubles, bringing about one wave of issue after another in succession and it has also caused some changes in the situation of the powers of the world.

"It is an undeniable fact that we are now finding ourselves in a very complicated and delicate international situation. Indeed our country's future is beset with great difficulties. On this occasion we must, above everything else, strengthen our national defense. For this reason we shall have to enforce a certain degree of control over personnel resources throughout the country in order to carry out the up-to-date intensification of national defense. And we shall also have the necessity of controlling material resources. From these requirements the government is

intending to enact the National General Mobilization

Law. This intention of the government is really worthwhile listening to."

I shall omit the next document.

Now we offer in evidence defense document 1729.

This is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of

March 11, 1938, reporting the statement of Committeeman

KOGURE upon the introduction of the bill for the

Machine Tool Industry in the House of Representatives,

March 10, 1938.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1729

will receive exhibit No. 2793.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2793 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read exhibit 2793.

"Stenographic Reports of Proceedings of the House of Representatives, March 10, 1938.

"Government Committeeman (Mr. KOGURE) will explain the reason for the introduction of the Machine Tool Industry Bill which has been just brought up for discussion. Needless to say, the machine tool industry occupies a most important position in industry as the basic industry of the general machine industry as well

reasons; and its development is of great significance for national defense and industry. But the fact is that the machine tool industry in Japan has been developed but recently and there are many regrettable defects from the point of the manufacturing ability and manufacturing techniques. That is, it is an undeniable fact that not only are there many machine tools whose manufacture would be difficult in this country but generally the capacities of domestic manufactured machine tools are considerably inferior to those of foreign goods, and every year, Japan depends on overseas import for a large quantity of machine tools.

"So that although the necessity of promotion of this industry has hitherto been keenly felt, I think the firm establishment of this industry is the most pressing need of the hour, especially in view of the present situation. As a policy of the establishment of machine tool industry, it is necessary to take various proper plans to encourage and to promote the producing capacity in conformity with the character of this industry. Also in order to expect the steady development of this industry, it would be most proper to contribute to the improvement of the techniques of

this industry by placing management on a rational foundation by adequate guidance and control.

"The reason for the introduction of this bill is as generally mentioned above."

We offer in evidence defense document 1749, which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of March 18, 1938, recording statement by Prince KONOYE in the House of Peers on March 17, 1938, with respect to the National Mobilization Bill.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1749

will receive exhibit No. 2794.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

No. 2794 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I now read from exhibit 2794.

"Minutes of the House of Peers, the 73rd

Session of the Imperial Diet No. 25."

THE PRESIDENT: Could you condense this?

MR. LOGAN: I don't think I could very well,

"March 17, 1938.

your Honor.

"State Minister (Prince KONOYE): I will explain the reason for introducing the National Mobilization Bill which is now the subject under

3

4

5

6

7

S

9

10

11

12

13

discussion. The so-called contest of national power characterizes modern warfare. In order to attain the war objective, the efforts of a nation's army and navy must be accompanied by a complete national mobilization setup. In other words, in the event of war or an incident similar to war, all available resources, both material and mental, must be mobilized, not only to replenish the munitions of war but also to secure the national life and render smooth all national activities necessary for the prosecution of war and thereby give full and effective play to total power of the nation. This is an indispensable condition to win the victory. This bill has been drafted in consideration of these facts and provides a basis which would enable the government to take the necessary measures in case of war or an incident similar to war. The general principles of the authority of the government which requires, when necessary, a declaration of a state of wartime, may generally be arranged beforehand along with the progress of the preparatory work in connection with national general mobilization if such a basis has already been laid.

24

Wolf & Lefler

7

3

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

"Therefore, we consider it to be proper to establish the general principles after obtaining the approval of the Diet, so that the Government may be enabled, within the scopeof the provisions, to take such emergency measures as would be consonant with the actual demands of the wartime situation. It is my belief that a previous understanding of a people of the manner in which the exercise of the State's authority is to be carried out with respect to national general mobilization will not only contribute to expediting the progress of preparations for national mobilization but is also necessary in facilitating the voluntary cooperation of the people in the event of an emergency and in rendering effective the enforcement of laws and regulations. Considering, especially, the state of our resources as well as our lack of experience in national mobilization, we feel keenly the necessity of enacting this law. As to existing laws on national mobilization, we have the Munitions Industry Mobilization Law enacted in 1918. But the principal aim of this law is the mobilization of the domestic industrial power for the purpose of meeting the numitions requirements and, therefore, it is obviously, inadequate both in its purpose and the scope of its provisions, in attaining the purpose of

9

22

24

24

25

the national mobilization which I have already pointed out. Moreover, as regards the present China Incident, we are, for the moment, supplementing the deficiencies of the Munitions Industry Mobilization Law and taking other emergency measures on the basis of the various provisional laws with regard to which we previously obtained your sanction. However, in consideration of the possible eventuality of a situation which, according to developments, would necessitate greater national control, we regard it as a matter of urgency that this law be enacted. The contents of this bill are, on the whole, based on matters provided for in the Munitions Industry Mobilization Law and in the various provisional laws relative to the (China) Incident. That the bill, in its form, stipulates only the general principles and leaves the details to ordinances is due to the difficulty of arranging the details in advance, as its contents are subject to change according to the extent of the situation. From the very nature of war, it is necessary to take prompt and proper measures in conformity with the changes of wartime situation. Moreover, we do not consider it advisable to make public the details of these measures before they are formulated from the standpoint of preserving secrets pertaining to

national defense. This bill, furthermore, also contains provisions which are applicable in peacetime. This is because these matters require peacetime preparations and, at the same time, are also indispensable in wartime. All items of the provisions of this bill are closely related to one another and form a single, overall structure of national mobilization. The contents of this bill relate to such matters as personnel, installations, funds, etc., and have a strong relationship with the people's livelihood. It is a matter of the utmost importance therefore, that it be applied and enforced properly. The very fact that special provisions have been created for a deliberation council indicates the bill's intention to ensure proper enforcement. In short, national mobilization can obtain its desired results only by the united cooperation of the whole nation based upon the sense of patriotism of the people. The Government has hereby introduced the bill, because we realized, in view of the situation, the need of providing a legal basis for the enforcement of national mobilization."

1

2

5

7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: What is the purpose of this speech?

MR. LOGAN: I beg your pardon?

THE PRESIDENT: That speech doesn't help any of the accused, does it? It is just a wartime speech, simply.

MR. LOGAN: We are accused, your Honor, in the Indictment and charged with the preparation and planning of an aggressive war for the China Incident and for the Manchurian Incident. Here, apparently, for the first time, the mobilization law was passed after both of those incidents had occurred.

THE PRESIDENT: Within two months after the incident occurred, you have the Japanese Parliament legislating, obviously, for a long war. That is the whole effect of the legislation you have tendered this morning.

MR. LOGAN: It certainly demonstrates, your Honor, that -- this legislation demonstrates that there was no preparation for these wars, and this legislation was passed after they had started. Furthermore, your Honor, it will be shown later on in this proof that other nations in the world were doing the same thing as Japan at this particular time.

THE PRESIDENT: I just want to know why you are tendering those documents. We would like to understand the purpose.

MR. LOGAN: I might also state that that

2 3

particular bill was passed in March, 1938, which was a considerable period of time after the start of the China Incident and more than three years before the start of the Pacific War. So, they could not have had that in mind at that time. I might also mention, for the Tribunal's information, that some of these bills and explanations which I have read this morning -you have probably noticed that they were only passed after the start of the China Incident and were only to be effective until one year after the China Incident, which is an entirely different interpretation put on them by our prosecution friends' witness, Liebert.

THE PRESIDENT: We are satisfied to know your purpose in tendering those documents. It is too early to debate these matters.

MR. LOGAN: Yes.

I might state for the Tribunal's information that in my commentary I do not state the purpose of the introduction of these documents. I think they speak for themselves. Any time the Tribunal wants to know why I am doing it, I will be glad to do it. I think it saves time this way.

THE PRESIDENT: It does, I agree with you.

25

1

3

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

MR. LOGAN: We next offer in evidence defense document 1840, an excerpt from the Official Gazette of March 12, 1940. This document sets forth the speech of Mr. FUJIWARA, State Minister, concerning the Bill for Coal Supply Control Law before the House of Representatives on March 11, 1940.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

MR. LOGAN: I wish to hold that up just a minute, your Honor. I skipped one document. I would rather offer them chronologically.

We offer in evidence defense document 1769 which is an excerpt from the Official Gazette of March 18, 1939 and which records the speech of Minister YOSHIAKI before the Diet at the time of the introduction of the bill for Light-Metals Manufacturing Industries.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1769,
being a book entitled "A Stenographic Record of the
House of Representatives, 74th Session," in Japanese,
will receive exhibit No. 2795 for identification only;
and the excerpt therefrom, bearing the same defense
document number, will receive exhibit No. 2795-A.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit

.

..

No. 2795 for identification; the excerpt therefrom being marked defense exhibit No. 2795-A and received in evidence.) MR. LOGAN: I now read exhibit 2795-A, being

the "Stenographic Record of the Proceedings of the House of Peers, March 17, 1939," Minister of State HATTA.

"I should like to explain the reason for introducing the bill for light-metals manufacturing industries which has just been laid before the House. Light-metals, that is, aluminum and magnesium, as materials for light alloys such as duralumin, are indispensable in the manufacture of aircraft, and it goes without saying that they are extremely important items in the consolidation of national defense. Apart from the requirements of national defense, 16 aluminum and magnesium, as materials for various 17 machines, instruments, and equipment, and also as substitutes for copper and other metals, are important 19 for developing various industries. With the consolidation of national defense, in the future, the trend of demand for aluminum and magnesium should become increasingly 22 greater. However, since our light-metal manufacturing industry was developed and in recent years, its present productive capacity is far from meeting the

1

2

3

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

18

20

21

current demand. In fact, Japan has been obliged to import a considerable amount of these materials every year. Ours is inferior to the same industry in European countries and America not only in output but also in both productive technique and quality of products, and it is to be regretted from the standpoint of a national defense industry that its weaknesses are not few. In the plan for raising productive capacity, which was recently decided by the present Cabinet, aluminum and magnesium were taken up as important objectives in this raising of productivity and we are expecting long strides to be taken in output. I think at this time that it is a matter of great urgency that we should establish and develop our light-metal manufacturing industry to such a level that the production can meet not only the domestic demand but also become so great that a considerable amount could be exported in peacetime, taking into consideration a shift in time of war, to the manufacture of munition materials. And I believe it is the pressing need of the moment that we should raise the level of productive technique as high as possible in an attempt to get it to compare favorably with the light-metal manufacturing industry in Europe and America. For attaining this, we

ought, in addition to granting various measures for protection and support for the light-metal manufacturing industry, to take adequate steps by way of encouragement in an attempt to raise the productive capacity, to exercise necessary guidance and control with a view to improving its productive techniques and management, and to secure the balance of supply and demand as well as the just and fair prices of aluminum and magnesium. In this connection, it is necessary for us to set up a special kind of corporation with all the manufacturers of the industry as members, to conduct a joint sale of aluminum and magnesium together with a control over their distribution, and at the same time to secure our enterprises so that they will be strong enough to compete with those in foreign countries. This is the main reason in substance for introducing this bill in the Diet. I sincerely wish you will fully deliberate on this bill and give your approval to it."

We next offer in evidence defense document 1840, an excerpt from the Official Gazette of March 12, 1940. This document sets forth the speech of Mr. FUJIWARA, State Minister, concerning the Bill for Coal Supply Control Law before the House of Representatives on March 11, 1940.

25

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

With regard to this speech, during the recess
you may be able to condense it, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: All right, sir. Thank you.

THE PRESIDENT: We will recess for fifteen minutes.

(Whereupon, at 1045, a recess was taken until 1100, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I have cut that down to about eight sentences, your Honor, which I shall read as I go along, -- that is, Defense Document 1840.

THE CLERK: The book entitled "Stenographe ic Records of the House of Representatives, 75th Session," in Japanese, will receive Exhibit No. 2796 for identification only, and the excerpt therefrom, bearing Defense Document No. 1840, will receive Exhibit No. 2796 A.

(Whereupon the document above referred to was marked Defense Exhibit 2796 for identification; and the excerpt therefrom, being marked Defense Exhibit No. 27964, was received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read portions of

13

p 12 e 13 a 13 u 14

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

15 R 16 e 17 c 17

19

22

23

21

24

Exhibit No. 2796A, Stenographic Record of the Proceedings of the House of Representatives, No. 24, 75th Imperial Diet, Minister of State, Mr. FUJIWARA, commencing with the second line from the bottom of page 1: "Turning however to the actual demand-end-supply condition of coal in Japan, we cannot but note that while the demand for coal has been on a sharp increase since the outbreak of the China Incident, the supply has been lagging far behind, due to many difficult circumstances at the mines."

Then skip two sentences, to the one beginning:
"Above all it is a matter of sincere regret regarding
the coal supply to power plants and other industries
the situation has come to such a critical stage as
you all know well, menacing all fields of our national life."

Now, the last paragraph on page 3, beginning:
"For these purposes the Government is now studying
measures to encourage extended development of mines,
by granting, for example, subsidies for increased
output or to newly developed mines. Expenditures
for these measures are also prepared in the form
of an additional budget. However, there are still
questions that cannot be solved by such measures only."

And on page 4, commencing with the second

sentence: "Unfortunately, however, the actual production conditions at the mines and the quality of coal produced does not always coincide with the above mentioned gradings."

On page 5, first paragraph: "Therefore, considering also the above mentioned requirements in controlling the supply of coal, the Government is now planning to establish a special central organization to monopolize the purchase and sale of coal."

And, again on page 5, the last three lines:
"This is why the Government is planning for this purpose to establish by law the Japan Coal Co. Ltd.,
which is to be a special company of semi-governmental and semi-popular character."

I now offer in evidence Defense Document

1841, an excerpt from the Official Gazette of

March 16, 1940, reporting the statement made by KATO

at the time the bill relating to Synthetic Chemical

Industries was introduced in the Diet on March 15, 1940.

I may say, your Honor, that I have tried to cut this

one down, but it covers so many different chemicals

that it was impossible to do so.

THE PRESIDENT: Admitted on the usual terms.

THE CLERK: Defense Document 1841 will re
ceive Exhibit No. 2796B.

(Whereupon, Defense Document 1841 was marked Defense Exhibit No. 2796B, and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: I shall now read from Exhibit
No. 2796B, being an excerpt from the proceedings
of the House of Peers, Marcy 15, 1940, a government bill relating to synthetic chemical industries,
Mr. KATO speaking:

"Among the remarkable developments of our chemical industries in recent years, the technical progress in the Synthetic Chemical industry using acetylene gas and liquid gas as raw materials is especially conspicuous. Although these synthetic chemical enterprises have developed in comparatively recent years, they are very comprehensive in extent and rich in variety. A remarkable development is expected in this field as an important branch of our chemical industry. A country like ours which is lacking in natural resources depends a great deal on these synthetic chemical enterprise. Among those industries the manufacture of acetic acid, acetone, butanol and methanol has already been industrialized, but due to the marked increase in the demand after the outbreak of the present Incident, they have become confronted with the need of prompt increase of

5

6

1

2

3

7

8

10 11

12 13

14

16

18

19 20

21

22

24

production, but it cannot be said as yet that the basis thereof has been fully established. The fundamental studies have become practically completed in regard to the manufacture of synthetic rubber, which is being discussed about recently; as well as regarding various kinds of high-grade alcohol which can become converted into high performance airplane fuel; also regarding benzol and triol (PHONETIC), which. are the essential constituents of explosive compounds, dyestuff and drugs; and on various synthetic resins which have special utility as substitutes for metals, glass and natural resins, and these items are on the verge of becoming industrialized shortly. Further, great hopes are entertained for the appearance hereafter of synthetic fibres like nylon and other new organic compounds. As I have mentioned above, there are many materials among the organic compounds which are indispensable to national defense and industrial requirements. Therefore the promotion of these industries is deemed as a truly urgent necessity of the moment. Moreover, as these organic compounds are closely connected with each other in the process of production it is considered extremely important and urgent to hit upon an over-all plan to promote the production of synthetic rubber, synthetic triol

5

10

11.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

and synthetic fiber -- which we are intending to industrialize -- together with acetic acid, acetone, butanol and methanol. But these organic compound industries need extremely complicated and high technique and laborious study concerning the method of synthesis and manufacturing equipment. Moreover they need large sums of capital for their industrialization so that they cannot be considered as profitable investments at least in the beginning. On account of that, it is deemed that these industries cannot be developed to the expected degree without adequate protection and necessary guidance and control by the Government. This Bill relating to the Synthetic Chemical Industry was drafted in accordance with the above object. The outline of this Bill is as follows: 1) The more important enterprises in this field will be carried out only by those who are licensed by the government, in order to prevent the wanton establishment of such enterprises, to make only those who are really able to conduct these enterprises from both technical and industrial viewpoints, and to make them attain the expected level of production. 2) As regards the manufacturing of organic compounds of special national importance, those which are not yet industrialized

24

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

will be subsidized for their expenditure for test
manufacturing, be granted manufacturing bounties,
and be exempted from taxes. 3) The Government
will restrict the import of materials likely to
obstruct the consolidation of this industry, and
will levy additional duty on them. It is hoped
that this Bill will meet with your approval after
thorough deliveration."

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 500. This is a report of the United States Tariff Commission on the United States imports from Japan and their relation to the defense program and to the economy of the United States, and is dated September, 1941. This report is made of the principal commodities imported from Japan and shows the United States would be affected not at all by the cessation of imports from Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we object to this document, which, we suggest, is quite immaterial and irrelevant. As has been explained, this is one of the Tariff Commission publications, but this time it is concerned with the trade position in the United States and not in Japan. There is a long detailed examination of various industries in the United States to determine what the effect would be if imports totally ceased from Japan.

The subjects considered included silk products, fish products, lily bulbs, bamboo sticks, pearl
shells, and many others. The fact that the students
came to the conclusion eventually that the total
cessation of imports of that kind would not affect the
defense program or the economy of the United States

is evidently regarded as something sinister. We are forced to assume that it is contended that an inquiry of this kind indicates the planning and preparation of the agressive country the United States to wage war against the unfortunate victim Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: It is quite consistent with America thinking Japan was about to attack.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: Exactly, your Honor.

It is suggested by the prosecution that it does not assist this case in the slightest degree and that it does not even support the fantastic suggestion that America was preparing to attack Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I have no desire to take up the Tribunal's time by reading a document of this kind. All I want the Tribunal to know is that such a report was made, after due investigation, and the conclusion reached was that the cessation of imports from Japan would not affect the United States at all. If they will concede that such a report was made and that that was the conclusion reached, I will withdraw it.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we respectfully suggest that we should not be asked to make an admission with respect to a patently immaterial matter.

7 8

THE PRESIDENT: You could admit the report was made and that that conclusion was reached, and also contend that the report and the conclusion are immaterial.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: We are prepared to do that, if it please your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: That is a sensible attitude to take.

MR. LOGAN: On the materiality and relevancy of this, your Honor, I think it will become apparent in the next section we are about to present.

It is withdrawn.

We offer in evidence defense document 755.

This is an official report of the United States Department of State, Research and Analysis Branch, and contains a comprehensive study and analysis of war production controls in Japan. This document will show beyond doubt that there was no economic preparation for war in Japan prior to the Liuchow Incident of 7 July 1937, and that controls were adopted only when the strategic war time needs created urgent requirements. This study also shows that even during the height of the Pacific War, even at that late date, the Japanese Government had not synchronized industry. Throughout the entire period the business men of Japan

had a large independent voice in the organization of that part of the economy required for war production.

I might say that this document comes from the same source as the document which we read yesterday with regard to shipbuilding and which was used by prosecution witness Liebert.

THE PRESIDENT: Is there anything to show that Liebert used any part of this document?

MR. LOGAN: I haven't been able to find that, your Honor. He may have.

THE PRESIDENT: If he had we would allow you to read omitted but connected parts, in accordance with the usual rule.

MR. LOGAN: I might say, your Honor, I only intended to read about the first eighteen pages and briefly refer to the laws which are attached to it from there on.

THE PRESIDENT: Is it an American publication, from the Department of State?

MR. LOGAN: That is right, your Honor. It is admissible on that ground alone, because that is where it comes from.

THE PRESIDENT: I will have to hear Brigadier Quilliam.

Brigadier Cuilliam.

2

1

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12 13

14

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we don't challenge the authority of the document. What we challenge is its materiality and relevance in this case. It is a voluminous document of fifty-five pages. The first seven pages deal with matters that are perhaps relevant except that they have already been sufficiently dealt with in various other parts of the case.

THE PRESIDENT: By the defense?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: By both sides, sir. It will be observed that on page 7 there commences an examination into Japan's wartime production control from 1941 to 1945, and in substance, the whole of the rest of the document is concerned with that subject.

When one comes to the appendices, commencing on page 21 --

MR. LOGAN: May I interrupt? I have already said with respect to this that I will only briefly refer to the laws; I don't intend to read all of it.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: We consider, if it please your Honor, it is our duty to draw attention to what has been reproduced in this document. Commencing at page 21 there is reproduced, in more than seven pages, the provisions of the National General Mobilization Law, which have already been put into evidence

in exhibit 84. Commencing at page 24, there are more than sixteen pages dealing with the major industries association ordinance.

G 1 e 2 e n 3

e 4 r g 5 & 6 B 7 a .

n 10

14

12

13

17

16

20

21

19

22

24

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan said he desired to read only eighteen pages. What they were, I do not know. Perhaps there is not much difference between what you suggest and what he intends to do.

MR. LOGAN: In the first eighteen pages I said I would only briefly refer to the laws. I know that there are two of them already in evidence; I know that. ? only intend to refer to the caption of the laws and the balance of the document.

THE PRESIDENT: A majority of the Court is in favor of allowing you to read the first seven and a half pages, but I cannot find a majority to agree you should read any more because it relates to matters during the war.

MR. LOGAN: The purpose of reading that part which relates to during the war is that it shows that even at that late date Japan had not synchronized its business for any war purposes which, of course, goes to the question of conspiracy. In addition, it also shows that during the entire priod the independent business men of Japan had a large voice in the conduct of the business of Japan, and it was not all controlled and centralized in the Japanese Government as the prosecution has contended.

THE PRESIDENT: The Court upholds the object-

ion except to the extent of the first seven and a quarter pages. To that extent the document is admitted on the usual terms. CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 755

will receive exhibit No. 2797.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2797 and received in evidence.)

MR. LOGAN: Exhibit 2797:

"Department of State. Interim Research and Intelligence Service, Research and Analysis Branch.

"Japanese War Production Industries.

"Part I. Development of War Production controls."

Dated "31 October 1945.

"During the World War I Japan fought on the side of the Allies. Although Japan's military activities were limited, state expenditures were high (increasing from 668 million yen in 1913 to 1,591 million yen in 1921), and Japan entered a period of unprecedented prosperity. This prosperity was a result of the marked decrease of imports of manufactured goods from Western powers, the rise of Japanese exports, the substantial increase in munitions supplied to the Allies, and the spectacular expansion of the Japanese merchant marine and shipbuilding industry due to the world-wide shortage of bottoms caused by the war. The sudden decrease of Western imports caused a rapid rise of new enterprises to replace them; this tendency was most conspicuous among the mining, machine and chemical industries.

The 'Manchurian Incident:' Encouragement by Subsidy and Legislation in the Recent Past.

25

24

2

3

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

1 50 . 3

Although a major Japanese aim during the Meiji era was to create a defense against foreign economic penetration and internal disturbance, it was realized that, in addition to devoting a large part of the limited capital resources of the nation to the development of strategic industries, it was also necessary to support manufacturing industries which were expected to compete against foreign products domestically or in the international market.

"The textile industry was the most important of these export industries. In the first half of the 1920's textiles accounted for over half of the factory employment and almost half of the value of factory production. Textiles began to decline in importance slowly after 1925; by 1929 the industry still accounted for half of factory employment and 40 per cent of the value of factory production. In the depression, production dropped sharply, but approximately the same proportion of employment was maintained until 1939. With some fluctuation, the textile industry's share of production continued to decline to about 30 per cent in 1935 and 20 per cent in 1939; thereafter the decline was even more rapid.

"Although the expansion of heavy industry has, of course, been closely related to the requirements

of the military services, the reorganization in the period previous to the China War of the Japanese industrial structure, i.e., a marked shift from light to heavy industry, was also advocated to support the export market. Japanese exports, the argument went, have consisted almost exclusively of the products of light industry, a large proportion of which have been shipped to China and other backward nations. Should these nations become industrialized, it is probable that light industries would be established first, thus substantially reducing the Japanese export market.

Accordingly, it was recommended that Japanese industrial reorganizations in favor of heavy industry be carried out as the only means of enabling Japan to continue as an industrial nation.

"For several months after the outbreak of the China Incid nt (7 July, 1937), it is true, the Japanese economy remained ostensibly on a peacetime basis in practically all its aspects; wartime control measures were adopted only when strategic needs created urgent requirements.

"As a result of the operation of these control measures and the inflationary fiscal policies of the government, an increasingly large portion of Japan's resources was steadily diverted into the strategic in-

•

-9

dustries, to the detriment of the home industries and the export trade.

"The export industries also suffered from increasing production costs and restrictions imposed in foreign markets against Japanese goods. By the end of 1936 the yen was beginning to depreciate. Since further depreciation of the yen would have increased the cost of the vast quantities of imported raw materials and machinery needed for the expansion of the heavy industries, the government in 1937 imposed import and exchange control measures designed to restrict imports of 'non-essential' materials and conserve Japan's foreign exchange reserves. Thus, even before the war it became obvious that Japan could not develop a 'war economy' and also trade in manufactured goods in keeping with the avowed program of her rulers.

"In order to develop industrial facilities
vital to aggressive warfare, it was necessary to supply
strategic industries with capital. The Temporary
Capital Funds Adjustment Law of September 1937 was the
first major step in this direction. Designed to channel
the flow of new capital (and, therefore, materials),
from non-essential to strategic industries, this act
authorized the government to regulate the allocation of
capital funds for the incorporation of new projects and

for capital increases and debenture issues of various branches of industry. One result of the act was a noticeably marked shift of funds to the munitions industry by the end of 1939. For the period from September 1937 to December 1939, permission had been obtained and loans advanced for 8,330 million yen. Only 7 per cent of this sumrwent to 'C' class or non-urgent enterprises. Investments for the principal industrial categories in 1939 are presented in summary form in the following table: "Table I. Investments Authorized under the 11 Temporary Capital Adjustment Law in 1939. (In million 13 yen). . 587 14 "Mining 2,724 15 "Manufacturing 16 10 "Agriculture 17 13 "Fishing 18 499 "Transportation 19 "Commerce 20 337 "Miscellaneous 21 4,214 "Total 22 Various percentages are also set forth. 23 "This shift did not mark a radical point of 24 departure in Japan's industrial life; it was a part of a well-defined trend. The 'national defense' indus-

tries in 1931, accounted for only 34.6 per cent of all promotion capital (including capital in new establishments, capital increase, and debenture issues), with the 'non-defense' industries accounting for as much as 65.4 per cent. By 1935 there was already a marked increase in the proportion of capital investment in heavy industries. In 1937 the position of 'national defense' and 'non-defense' industries was reversed as compared with 1931, the former accounting for 61.7 per cent of the whole and the latter for 38.3 per cent. High Japanese officials not only reported a trebling in the value of output of heavy industries in the 1931-35 period, but also claimed in 1942 that the productive capacities of the metal and machinery industries had increased thirteen and eleven times respectively over the levels of 1931.

must have had on Japan's consumption, economy, and industry may be learned from a study of the relationship between military and total Japanese government expenditure. In proportion to Japan's total expenditures under the General Act and China Incident Special Act, total estimated military expenditure rose from 47 per cent in the last pre-war year, 1936-37 to a peak of almost 75 per cent in 1937-38. The proportion

16

2

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

declined slightly (to 73 per cent) in 1939-49 and 1940-41. A considerable relative rise was again scheduled for 1941-42. The proportion of total estimated military expenditures remained at an out 75 per cent for 1942-43 and 1943-44, and rose to about 80 per cent for 1944-45. Civilian consumption which absorbed over 40 per cent of the national income in 1941-42 accounted for under 20 per cent in 1944-45.

"4. National General Mobilization Law of 1938. The National General Mobilization Law was adopted at the seventy-third session of the Imperial Diet on 24 March 1938. The 'backbone of Japan's wartime national structure', it provides for the control and utilization of all human and commodity resources for purposes of 'mational defense'. In time of war, it provides for government control of labor, raw materials, land, equipment, business agreements, investment and capital increases, prices, and publications; in time of pence it provides for the conscription of labor, the Graining of technicians, and the storage and conservation of materials, compulsory planning for wartime increases in production, and research and experiment. In addition the government was empowered to grant subsidies, guarantee a

6

20 21

1

2

4

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

23

24

ning of military control of all private industrial phases of Japanese life. Originally the law was to be invoked and enforce by Imperial Ordinance without requiring action of the Diet. However, the decision to set up a National Mobilization Council of fifty members (largely from the two houses of the Diet) to review the measures applied under this statute tended to nullify its effectiveness as a weapon of the military in the struggle for nationalized industry. A mugh greater though more indirect influence was weilded by the larger and larger war budgets spondored by the Army and Navy authorities. A number of military leaders wished to establish much more than this indirect control over the Japanese production system but the Zaibatsu offered a stubborn opposition to every measure which threatened to infringe upon their ownership and major prerogatives in the industries which they dominated.

"As late as September 1941 a leading Japanese economic publication was able to sum up this period as follows:

"'Japan's wartime controlled economy has hitherto been based on three important laws - the Law for Temporary Control of Imports and Exports, the Temporary Capital Adjustment Law, and the National Mobil-

2

5

7

8

10

11

13

14

16

17 18

19

20

22

23 24

ization Law, none of which contains clauses that give any inkling of planned economy.'

It concludes:

economy was financed and operated by private enterprise, which disposed of profits and dividends with relatively slight government interference. Control, in the sense of comprehensive state plans enforced on industry, was still in embryonic form."

I am sorry I cannot read the rest of that document. I think it is very informative to the Tribunal, but the ruling has been made.

I now offer in evidence defense document

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunal, I suggest that this document should be considered with the next one proposed to be offered, which is document 598(11). Document 1905 is merely the affidavit of Professor Fahs, the author of the other document, 598(11), with respect to how he came to write the book and with respect to his qualifications. In other words, document 1905 by itself has no value. I assume that probably my learned friend, Mr. Logan, will agree with me.

THE PRESIDENT: A couple of excerpts from Fahs' book were tendered earlier, but we know this is tendered in order to show his qualifications to write 598(11) which is No. 11 on your order of proof. You had better tender 598(11), I think, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: We also offer in evidence defense document 598(11). This is an excerpt from the book, "Government in Japan," which bears exhibit No. 2361 for identification. This is an excellent, impartial

and comprehensive economic study of all the factors which required Japanese governmental intervention in various lines of industry. The study shows that the Japanese economy was never at any time regimented and had no resemblance whatsoever to a totalitarian economy geared for war. The study also shows that Japan was required to expand governmental responsibilities with respect to industry largely as a result of foreign pressure and the need to conserve international credits because of her large dependence on foreign sources for basic raw materials in which Japan was seriously deficient. It also deals with the promotion and regulation of foreign trade, trade associations, exchange control, semi-official corporate monopolies, economic recovery and security, agricultural security, overseas development and domestic control, national defense and electric power.

BRIGADIER CUILLIAM: We object to document 598(11) on the ground that it has no probative value and, secondly, that it is irrelevant. There are, undoubtedly, facts stated in the document and, perhaps, some of them are relevant, but they are so mixed with the author's opinions and conclusions in developing his argument which is the basis of the

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

21

2

10

11

12

13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

23

24

book that they cannot be separated. Strong evidence of that is given by the commentary which has just been made on the introduction of this document by my learned friend.

THE PRESIDENT: Is Fahs still in Tokyo?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: No, he is not. He
was, recently, until the 14th of July, but he has
gone to the states.

I suggest, sir, that the strongest -- the best way of testing whether this is material proper to be accepted by the Tribunal is to look at the deponent's own statement towards the end of his affidavit. He says there that the book, "Government in Japan," gives an accurate and representative statement of the facts, and he goes on, "and a fair interpretation of their significance." And, commencing at the very first sentence of the document, and it appears the same all the way through there, is the clear evidence of his being an interpreter.

THE PRESIDENT: And even if we could separate facts from opinion, you say the facts are irrelevant, is that so?

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: No, your Honor. It is our difficulty so often in these mixed documents that one cannot say that all the facts are irrelevant

that are mentioned there.

THE PRESIDENT: Perhaps he got his facts from Year Books, too. Why can't we get them from the same source? Lord Patrick says he did get them from Year Books.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: We submit that this is 7 not the way in which evidence of this kind should be 8 presented to a tribunal of this importance. We could 9 submit dozens of books on Japan, but the Tribunal is asked to accept this particular author as being the authority.

THE PRESIDENT: We are not at liberty to accept his opinions, and the facts on which he bases them are more readily available in Japanese Year Books and such publications.

MR. LOGAN: May I reply after the noon recess?

THE PRESIDENT: Yes. We will adjourn until half-past one.

(Whereupon, at 1200, a recess was taken.)

23

12

13

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Knapp & Yelden

1

9

10

12

13

16

AFTERNOON SESSION

The Tribunal met, pursuant to recess, at 1330.

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International

Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: With the Tribunal's permission the accused ARAKI will be absent from the Court this afternoon while conferring with his counsel.

Mr. Logan.

ments, 1905 and 598 (11), in its objection to the use of this excerpt the prosecution stated it contained some facts which were relevant. Actually the entire document is replete with statements of fact. On an objection by Mr. Comyns Carr to the introduction of a previous excerpt from this book on March 11, 1947, the Court stated on page 18,122 of the record: "It contains a number of statements of fact the probative value of which the Tribunal may wish to consider. This further excerpt is admitted on the usual terms."

An examination of the document will verify our contention that any interpretations by Professor Fahs are of matters upon which this Court will not be required to render an opinion. No opinion is expressed in the excerpt by the writer on the question which the

19

21

22

23

7 8

Court will have to decide as to whether or not Japan's industrialization was formulated along totalitarian lines for the conduct of aggressive war as contended by the prosecution. In other words, he does not forswear any of the issues to be decided by the Court.

In addition, the excerpt is heavily documented as showing the sources of the author's information.

On the parts of this document we desire to read there are 111 footnotes of which there are about five from the Japan Yearbook. As pointed out there are a few interpretations by the author, but may we state that from document 1905 it appears that Professor Fahs is eminently qualified to testify as an expert on economic matters.

THE PRESIDENT: We decided in Liebert's case that the subject matter did not call for expert testimony. We did not treat Liebert as an expert and we will not treat this man as one.

MR. LOGAN: As stated to the Tribunal previously, this book has been selected by the defense from a large number of economic studies available and it sets forth--

THE PRESIDENT: This looks like a hard way of getting at some facts which are very readily

available otherwise.

MR. LOGAN: It is our contention that this document does set forth the facts and the subject matter in a concise, accurate and in an objective manner. The prosecution admits that it does contain facts and we submit it should be received for its probative value on the same grounds as which other excerpts from it were received; and if the Tribunal feels that it does contain any conclusions they can be ignored. If this excerpt is not accepted it will be incumbent upon the defense to supplement the proof already offered by a large number of other documents to which perhaps this author has referred and witnesses which would further burden the Court and delay the trial.

THE PRESIDENT: We are not shutting out any of the facts that he uses but no doubt you desire us to accept this statement not merely because of the facts that it contains but because of the way they are used in the statement, that is, because of the opinions formed on that basis.

MR. LOGAN: I ask that it be accepted for the facts contained in it for their probative value the same way as previous excerpts from the same book were received in evidence. If the Tribunal feels there are

7 8

any opinions I have no objection to their disregarding them.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: I now offer in evidence defense document 1836 for identification. This is a summary of proceedings and copies of the trade agreement at the Imperial Economic Conference at Ottawa in 1932.

CLERK OF THE COURT: Defense document 1836, a book entitled, "Imperial Economic Conference at Ottawa in 1932," will receive exhibit No. 2798 for identification only.

(Whereupon, the document above referred to was marked defense exhibit No. 2,98 for identification.)

MR. LOGAN: I now offer in evidence defense document 1836-A, an excerpt from exhibit 2798 for identification. This is the agreement between the United Kingdom and Canada dated August 20, 1932. We shall read only articles 1 and 2.

MR. COMYNS CARR: May it please the Tribunal. THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: This is the first of a considerable number of documents which is concerned with the question of the steps taken, rightly or

wrongly, wisely or unwisely, by a large number of countries to cope with the economic depressions which occurred in the period between the two wars.

THE PRESIDENT: Is this an Ottawa conference document?

MR. COMYNS CARR: This particular one is,
yes, but there are a large number relating to steps
of a similar character taken by other countries. In
our submission the whole subject is completely irrelevant and all documents relating to it should be excluded.

THE PRESIDENT: Yesterday we received quite a number of documents and it was common ground that these steps were taken by different nations to protect their own interests. There is no doubt about most of the nations of the earth having resorted to tariff barriers to protect what they thought were their legitimate rights and interests.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Right, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Even if it were necessary to prove those things, it would not be necessary to go to this length to do so.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I entirely agree, your Honor, but I would go further and submit that the whole subject has nothing whatever to do with this inquiry.

Yesterday you did receive documents showing similar steps taken in Japan, and I understood that those were supposed to be tendered by way of explanation of Japan's own industrial policy, but to proceed to prove at great length that other countries were doing the same thing is, in my submission, beyond all bounds of possible relevance. There is nothing to lead one to suppose that any of these physical steps were taken in relation to Japan -- by any particular country by way of attack upon

.17

·

Japan or protection against Japan more than any other country. They applied to all. But even if they were, in our submission they could not afford any possible excuse or justification for going to war on that account.

We ask the Tribunal to decline to burden this record with any documents relating to this topic.

MR. LOGAN: I assume when the prosecution states that this whole subject is completely irrelevant, he is referring to my order of proof headed "Economic Pressure Against Japan." I do not know what else he may have had in mind. If he wants to correct that statement, he may do so now before I continue.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am much obliged.

I did not intend the objection I was taking at the moment to cover the whole of the documents
contained under this head "Economic Pressure Against
Japan."

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan made the suggestion that the rejection of one involves the rejection of the rest.

MR. COMYNS CARR: It involves the rejection of a large number, not the whole list on which my

3

1

7

8

9

10 11

12 13

15

16

-14

17 18

19

20 21

23 24

22

25

That is what I friend is at present embarking. wish to --

THE PRESIDENT: You are not objecting to all of them?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Not all.

THE PRESIDENT: We will have to do it as they are presented.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I am at the moment only objecting to the large number which deal with the question of tariffs and other measures taken by various countries to meet the economic depression. Other documents in the list will be objected to on other grounds.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you anything to add to what you said?

MR. LOGAN: I have not started to answer yet, your Honor. I did not know what he was objecting to -- how far his objection went. He said the whole subject was completely irrelevant, and I assumed he was referring to economic pressure against Japan, but if he is only referring to laws and regulations that were passed by certain countries during the depression, it is quite apparent from these documents that we are about to introduce that some of them were aimed directly at Japan, and all of

them did affect Japan.

It has been the contention of the prosecution, by the interpretation and testimony they have introduced through Liebert, concerning the 45-odd laws that were passed by Japan, that those laws were passed for purposes of aggressive war. We have already introduced evidence to contradict that in so far as the actions of Japan are concerned, but in order to understand and obtain the true facts it is necessary for us to go a step further and show these laws that were passed by these various countries, and how they did affect Japan and why it was necessary for her to pass those laws.

THE PRESIDENT: This note from a Member of the Tribunal seems to state the position accurately.

(Reading): "There has already been admitted uncontradicted evidence that many nations imposed restrictions on foreign trade which in fact adversely affected Japan."

If the prosecution say that is contradicted we would like to hear from them now.

MR. LOGAN: I would like to know, before they answer that, if the Tribunal please, just how

far that observation is to take us. In other words, we think it commenced back in 1932, and we want to show the course of the events because economics is the foundation of all wars. I think that is agreed to by many, many people. We want to show the beginning, here.

THE PRESIDENT: The foundation of all aggressive wars, trade wars.

MR. LOGAN: Defensive wars too, your. Honor.

Duda & opratt

TR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in answer to the question that was put to the prosecution, we do not dispute the proposition that economic measures taken by other countries to meet the depression affected Japan in common with all other countries.

THE PRESIDENT: Beginning at Ottawa in 1932, if you like.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Beginning long before that, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: Why have all these things about which there is no contest proved in detail?

if the matter were relevant there would be no need to prove it in detail.

THE PRESIDENT: We can take judicial notice of it under the Charter.

MR. COMMINS CARR: In my submission, it is irrelevant, and if certain documents already admitted have already referred to it, amongst other subjects, that part of those documents was irrelevant.

of the trial the prosecution used to apply in Chambers to have certain facts judicially noticed, and hundreds of facts were, at least one hundred facts were judicially noticed as a result.

MR. LOGAN: May I go back a minute to what the prosecution said a minute ago? I am not trying to prove anything in detail. I am doing it as rapidly as I can. This exhibit I offer I only want to read two articles out of the entire document. I think there are about twenty-three articles in it. I am trying to avoid detail rather than go into it. I have the best proof possible. I have the agreement itself. I can't do any more than that.

THE PRESIDENT: I suggest that you are working harder than you need, Mr. Logan, because you could have come into Chambers with request to have certain facts judicially noticed; there would have been no difficulty, and you would have been spared the trouble of preparing all these documents.

MR. LOGAN: With all due respect to the Tribunal, the facts upon which we agreed to accept judicial notice were major events, which we all knew about. Now, for example, one of these next documents I am offering in evidence here, the prosecution would under no circumstances admit that that Canada Conference had such a vast import that even India objected to it because they were losing their export trade to Japan, and things like that we can't agree upon. The far-reaching effect of these laws which were passed by these various countries,

we expect to show the effect on Japan, directly tie it up, and why this legislation was passed in Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: No, there is no need to go into proof to this extent on such matters. We could take judicial notice of those facts, if asked. The prosecution would be heard. Probably they would not raise any objection. Why should they want this agreement proved in the ordinary way? There is no contest about it and never could be.

MR. LOGAN: I have no more to say on it, your Honor. Is the document rejected?

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 1836B, an excerpt from the same exhibit for identification. This is the agreement between the United Kingdom and Australia dated 20 August 1932. We intended to read only Articles 1 and 2. Under the previous ruling we will assume it rejected.

We now offer in evidence defense document 1836C, an excerpt from the same exhibit for identification. This is the agreement between the United Kingdom and India dated 20 August 1932. We only intended to read Articles 1 and 2. Under the previous ruling we will assume it rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Well, we will not reject them unless we are satisfied they are cumulative or the prosecution objects and we sustain the objection. We must do things in an orderly way.

MR. LOGAN: I assumed the prosecution was objecting to those three documents, your Honor.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, in my submission, when the first document was rejected exactly similar documents should not be tendered and the time of the Court should not be taken up by tendering them and the necessity of repeating the objection.

THE PRESIDENT: We hadn't time to find out what they were about.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I would suggest that a great deal of time might be saved if the defense would respect the rulings of the Tribunal and not tender documents of exactly the same kind as those already rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: We have already dealt with that fully. We said if they wanted a record of an objection if the ruling was against them on a point there was a way to do it, to get it without wasting time.

25

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

3 4

7

8

9 10

11 12

14 15

13

16 17

18

19 20

21 22

23 24

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, I object to the prosecution trying to chastise the defense. I try to abide by the rulings of this Court.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Well, your Honor, I can only say that if documents 1836-B and C are tendered I will repeat my objection on the same grounds as to 1836-A.

THE PRESIDENT: A Member of the Tribunal has expressed a desire to see all documents tendered, including rejected documents, and we must of course comply with his wishes.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 1836-B, an excerpt from the past exhibit for identification. This is an agreement between the United Kingdom and Australia, dated 20 August 1932. We only intend to read Articles 1 and 2.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I object on the same grounds as before.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 1836-C, an excerpt from the same exhibit for identification. This is the agreement between the United Kingdom and India, dated 20 August 1932.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I-object on the same grounds as before.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 1835, which is the Indian Merchants' Chamber, Bombay, views of the comment on the report of the Indian Delegation to the Imperial Economic Conference at Ottawa, dated 2 November 1932.

There are only a few sentences that we wish to read from this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: I object on the same ground as before, and on the further ground that this is merely the opinions of a private body of traders in India.

MR. LOGAN: It is an opinion of a private body of traders, but it was sent to the Secretary to the Government of India, Department of Commerce, New Delhi, and it shows the effect of that conference directly on exports to Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, would you indicate the parts you intended to read if the document is admitted?

3

6

7

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

23

24

MR. LOGAN: I had a number of parts marked, but the principal part that I wish to call to the Tribunal's attention, which I think is directly on point, is on page 9, starting about the middle of the page, "A scheme of preference..." There are other parts of the document which show the effect on Japan, but it doesn't name Japan specifically.

THE PRESIDENT: The objection is upheld and the document rejected.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 1668, which is the address of Count ISHII on June 13, 1933, as Japan's representative at the Plenary Meeting of the Monetary and Economic Conference held in London in 1933.

In this address Count ISHII set forth the official position of the Japanese Government in favor of the elimination of trade barriers and restrictions of foreign trade, free interchange of world commodities on the basis of equality, and sounded a warning that there could be no political peace without economic peace.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we object to this on the same grounds, in effect, as before, that the subject matter is irrelevant and merely contains

views put forward by Count ISHII about international trade and gold standard problems at the abortive conference, economic conference of 1933. In our submission it has no bearing on the matters at issue here.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, here we have the statement by Japan's official delegate to that conference. I think we all know what happened at that conference, and it seems to me that the attempt that was made by Japan at that time at that conference should receive the consideration of this Tribunal as a foundation for what happened subsequently. He is an official of the Japanese Government speaking for the government.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 1908(1), which is the testimony of the Hon. Cordell Hull, Secretary of State, before the Committee on Ways and Means in the House of Representatives, March 8-14, 1934. This testimony indicates the serious dislocation of international trade for many years prior to 1934; the general existence throughout the world of foreign exchange control laws, quotas,

2

3

7

8

9

12

11

14

16 17

18

19 20

21

22

24

25

as having any bearing on Japanese preparation for war. He made reference to some matters of that kind which occurred after 1937 and which were subject to different considerations. But these matters of general world trade depression and efforts, good or bad, to meet it he did not deal with and they are, in our submission, irrelevant.

MR. LOGAN: If the prosecution will examine page 95 of Mr. Liebert's affidavit, they will find there reference to the Foreign Exchange Control Law of March 1933. If they will refer to page 94 in his affidavit, they will find reference to the Capital Flight Prevention Law of 1932. If they will refer to page 73, they will find reference to the Major Industries Control Law of August 1931. If they will refer to page 35, they will find reference to Japan Iron Manufacturing Company Law of April 6, 1933. And if they will examine his testimony and the statements made by the prosecution in their opening statement with respect to that particular phase -- I believe Brigadier Quilliam made the statement -- they will find that the object of all the evidence which was introduced on that phase was to show that the Japanese Government regimented the economy of Japan in support of a plan for aggressive war.

Wolf & Lefler

3

5

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

16

17

19

20

22

23

24

25

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan, will you come to the lectern?

We are most anxious to give you the benefit of any doubt in these matters, and we are having some difficulty about this: whether there is, in this statement by Mr. Hull, anything that contradicts anything that Liebert says?

MR. LOGAN: The entire tenor of his testimony was to support the prosecution's statement which I just made, and included in that was all the laws that Japan passed. Now, we propose to show by this testimony of Honorable Cordell Hull that at least sixty-five countries of the world passed legislation similar to what Japan passed in those early days, and certainly they cannot be accused of aggressive war. In other words, what all these countries were trying to do at that time of depression was, each country was trying to protect its own interests, not planning a preparation for war as the prosecution claims Japan was doing. And the effect of that legislation of the other countries, which started some years later, will show the economic pressure that was put on Japan. That is a different subject. I am talking now about these early laws.

Of course, I fully realize that the Tribunal

-

has disregarded Liebert's opinions, if any were given in there, but his words are shaded, and the way he wants the Court to interpret that is there was something wrong in the way Japan passed these laws. You can take sentences and perhaps read them to the Tribunal. They do not mean anything to the Tribunal if standing along, but the entire tenor of his statement is to that effect.

Page 6 of this particular document sums up
pretty well there, after setting forth the different
types of legislation which was passed. Mr. Hull
says that there were sixty-five countries in the
world and that all of them were suffering. "Everybody is suffering from everybody else's trade barriers."

On page 2 of that affidavit where Mr. Hull is talking about the twenty-five nations whose very economic lives depend upon international trade, it is our position that Japan is one of those twenty-five.

I might also say that in the previous document that was rejected, the statement made by Count ISHII sounded the warning which Cordell Hull picked up the next year.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court up-holds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense

document 1908(2), which is the report of the United States Tariff Commission offered at a hearing before the Committee on Ways and Means in the House of Representatives from March 8 to March 14, 1934. This report of the United States Tariff Commission shows in detail the powers resting in the executives of many countries to deal effectively with foreign exchange, quotas, license, etc., and which were exercised by many other countries. We do not propose to read any part of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: Your Honor, we object to this document on the same grounds as the last one, and we object further to the burden being put upon the Tribunal of reading a document which the defense themselves do not think worth reading. It is exactly the same effect.

MR. LOGAN: If it is admitted and the prosecution insists on my reading it, I will read it.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be covered by our previous decision.

By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 401-11 which is an excerpt from Peace and War, Official Publication, Department of State, United States of America, with respect to the United States neutrality legislation.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, the prosecution object to the admission of this document on the ground that it is both irrelevant and immaterial. The document, and other documents to be introduced, deal with the attitude

of the United States regarding neutrality. The documents involve an interpretation of the United States actions, conduct and the speeches of its representatives. That interpretation may indicate that the United States was genuinely afraid, on proper ground, that there were going to be wars waged by aggressor nations. The interpretation may show that the United States was genuinely concerned, not only lest she herself should be involved, but in the interests of the world as a whole.

Whatever was the correct interpretation, it is obvious that on a subject as to how to stop war, opinions as to the proper course to be followed may well differ and they may change from time to time in good faith. At one time it might be thought proper to adopt active steps; at another appearement might be considered the proper policy.

Is Japan to be justified in going to war by being able to show that America adopted the wrong method? Or, if it is suggested that a document of this kind is the beginning of the conspiracy on the part of the United States to attack Japan, it is submitted that nothing in the document could support such a contention.

For these reasons, it is submitted that the

document is immaterial and does not assist the determination of the issues.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I do not think the speculation and inference that the prosecution has tried to draw from this document are its proper function. think it is up to the Tribunal to determine that. Its materiality and relevancy are quite apparent from reading the document itself, what effect the legislation had on Japan. And this is the forerunner of the evidence which will be shown later, the modification of that act and the assistance rendered to China which is all part of the economic and military . pressure against Japan by the Allied and Western Powers. As I stated in our opening address, this is an affirmative defense on the part of the accused.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document. We will recess for fifteen minutes.

> (Whereupon, at 1445, a recess was taken until 1500, after which the proceedings were resumed as follows:)

23 24

1

3

4

5

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

Reichers & Kapleau

MARSHAL OF THE COURT: The International Military Tribunal for the Far East is now resumed.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 401(13), which is an excerpt from "Peace and War" with respect to the warnings by President Roosevelt and Secretary Hull of the dangers developing in 1936 and 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this document is on all fours with the document that was rejected immediately before the recess, and on the same grounds as were urged in respect of that document we urge that this one should also be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Have you anything to say, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: It is a special document of the United States Government referring to statements made by the President and the Secretary of State with respect to the Neutrality Act of 1935 as amended February 29, 1936. More with respect to that Act will be introduced in a few minutes.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be covered by our decision on defense document 401(11). The objec-

tion is upheld and the document rejected, by a majori-

1

2

3

5

6

8

9

10

11

1.2

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 158 is offered in evidence. This is a proclamation issued by the President of the United States on May 21, 1936, increasing the rates of duty on cotton cloth. On its face it shows that the import duty on cloth was raised and that this increase in the tariff was aimed at Japan's exports.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns Carr.

MR. COMYNS CARR: If it please the Tribunal, we object to this document on the same ground as the series of documents previous to the last two.

I should say that although it is true that the low cost of production is Japan is mentioned in this proclamation as the reason for the increase of duty by the United States, of course in fact the increase in duty when imposed applied to cotton cloth from all countries.

THE PRESIDENT: Will you admit the contents of that document but contest its relevancy?

MR. COMYNS CARR: Yes, your Honor, the same reasons.

MR. LOGAN: As far as relevancy is concerned, this is the beginning of the economic depression that

 was enforced on Japan, and of course Japan's cotton exports were very vital to the continuance of Japan's economy.

I might say that practically the same objection was raised by the prosecution to a previous document and the Tribunal said, on page 20,914 of the record: "We are all clear that you cannot justify an attack on another country because the other country decides not to trade with you, unless perhaps that trade is vital to your very existence."

while it is true that the proclamation applies to other countries, it is aimed directly at Japan, and on its face it shows that the Commission knew that the principal competing country is Japan, as the document itself states. We claim it is very material to the affirmative defense of these accused with respect to the declaration of war in self-defense. It is the beginning of the economic squeeze.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution's documents themselves show the embargo or embargoes and the freezing of assets, and that kind of thing.

MR. LOGAN: I know of no evidence to that effect, your Honor, that the purport of this document is in the record so far..

THE PRESIDENT: It appears, among other

places, in the negotiations with the United States Secretary of State. 2 MR. LOGAN: I don't recall it in the evidence at all, your Honor. THE PRESIDENT: It is impossible to prove 5 the negotiations without referring to them. MR. LOGAN: I know this is an original document and I know it wasn't in evidence before. THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document. MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense 11 12 document 1400-A-4, which is a press release issued by the Department of State on September 14, 1937, stating, 13 in effect, that merchant vessels owned by the United States Government are prohibited from transporting to 15 China or Japan arms, ammunition or implements of war. 16 17 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. 18 I might say, your Honor, MR. LOGAN: 19 that all these excerpts in the 1400 series come from 20 the foreign relations of the United States and Japan 21 THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam. 22 BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, 23 it will be observed that this is another neutrality document and is exactly on the same principle as the two previous neutrality documents which have been

rejected. On the same grounds that we urged in respect of those we ask the rejection of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I don't think there is any question about the admissibility of the document. As to relevancy and materiality, it certainly is material. It shows further action taken by the United States which was later restricted just to Japan and not to China.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We now offer in evidence defense document 1400-B-4, a letter from the Department of State to various persons and companies, dated July 1, 1938. This letter explains the position of the United States Government as being opposed to the sale of airplanes or aeronautical equipment which would aid or encourage the practice of any country in bombing of civilians and discussing the obtaining of licenses for the export of such commodities.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, in one respect this is more objectionable than the other neutrality documents, because it is made perfectly clear that the United States is speaking to

N.

nations that bomb the public, without regard to any particular country. In principle it is open to the objection that applied to the other neutrality documents, and we submit it should not be received.

THE PRESIDENT: It is directed against bombing civilian populations from the air, as I read it, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: It is directed to opposing the sale of any airplanes or aeronautical equipment which would aid or encourage that practice, as I previously stated.

THE PRESIDENT: The prosecution's evidence shows that about that time the Americans were complaining about the bombing by the Japanese of American institutions in China. I think that evidence is about 1937; I am not sure.

MR. LOGAN: The previous documents which were rejected show that the merchant vessels were prohibited from transporting to Japan or China arms or munitions listed on the President's proclamation of May 1, 1937, and that press release was issued on September 14, 1937.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: I want to call the Tribunal's attention to Prosecution Exhibit 994, which shows that on July 26, 1939 the United States gave notice of the termination of the Treaty of Commerce and Navigation of 1911 at the end of the 6 month period prescribed by the Treaty.

We offer in evidence Defense Document 1821 which is an excerpt from the Annual Report of the Trade Bureau of the Japanese Foreign Office, 1938, with respect to foreign trade with the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Comyns-Carr.

MR. COMYNS-CARR: If it please the Tribunal, we object to this document on the same grounds which we have been taking to the other documents. It is dealing entirely with the question of trade depression and is, in our submission, irrelevant for the reasons already given.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: There is nothing further to say, your Honor, I don't think the objection is valid.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority the court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence Defense Document 401-B-4, an excerpt from Peace and War, showing that on November 4, 1939 the arms embargo

No.

was repealed by the Congress of the United States.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority of the court, the court upholds the objection and rejects the document-- oh, I am sorry. I gave that decision before one of the members of the court had received his copy. I wasn't aware of the fact that he had none. I had it and assumed the others had it.

You have nothing to add, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: Which document are you referring
to?

THE PRESIDENT: 401-B-4.

MR. LOGAN: 401-2-4?

MR. PRESIDENT: B-4.

MR. LOGAN: I think it is material, your Honor. I expressed my view on this before and I don't want to waste any more time.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence Defense Document 401(24), an excerpt from Peace and War, dealing with United States arms embargo.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another neutrality document. It is rather longer than the others, but it is to the same

9

19

1

4

5

6

7

9

10

1.1

13

14

15

16

1.7

18

21

22 23

2

3 4

5

7

8

10

11

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

21

20

23

22

25

24

effect, and we ask that this be rejected also.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: Well, I don't know how the Tribunal is looking at these and I don't know whate say to them to express my views.

THE PRESIDENT: We cannot see the relevancy -- at least a majority of us can not.

MR. LOGAN: Well, may it be accepted for its probative value if the majority cannot see the relevancy?

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence 401(26), being an excerpt from Peace and War which contains further information with respect to the arms embargo legislation.

I call the court's attention particularly to the last sentence of that document.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunal, I suggest that that sentence does not assist the defense to make this document relevant. It is merely another -- this document is exactly on all fours with those previously rejected and we ask that it be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We refer to Defense Document 1400-C-3, being Exhibit 2731, which is a telegram from the Secretary of State to Ambassador Grew, dated December 20, 1939. This telegram shows that the United States did not wish to enter into negotiations for a new treaty to replace the commercial treaty of 1911, which was abrogated in July 1939.

We also refer to Defense Document 1631, being Exhibit 2732, concerning a new treaty of commerce which was never consummated.

Greenberg & Barton

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

bunal, this document is exactly the same as those which have been rejected. It merely gives the opinion of certain responsible officials as to the proper action to be taken or as to the results of action that might be taken. We submit it should be rejected.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, if the responsible officials of the government, as the prosecution says here, are of the opinion that a certain action of that government will involve that country in war, and if that is not material to this case, I do not know what is.

of an official, and we are not going to take opinions in that matter. It is for us to decide what justifies war, not for any official in the state Department in Washington.

MR. LOGAN: This book from which this document is taken, if the Tribunal please, is an official publication of the State Department, "Peace and War."

THE PRESIDENT: Suppose he said it wouldn't lead to war. Would we be bound by that opinion of his?

MR. LOGAN: If the responsible officials of

the government, including the highest military and naval authorities, are of the opinion that, if the government takes a certain step, it will lead to war, and that step is taken, it seems to me that that

evidence is admissible in this particular case

6 especially.

THE PRESIDENT: Your case for the accused is self defense. We are not going to allow anybody to decide for us when self defense is warranted.

MR. LOGAN: I certainly have no intention of taking away from this Tribunal, or even endeavoring to do so, the fact that it has the right to determine when self defense arises, but that is not the purport of this document.

THE PRESIDENT: What does he say? If you take these steps against a strong country, you may precipitate war. That is all he says. That is his opinion. We, if we wanted to, cannot delegate our functions to others.

MR. LOGAN: No, but the answer to it is, your Honor, that we are trying to show the facts, and here we have one country that knows that, if it does certain acts, that those acts will result in war. And in this particular case, the imposition of these embargoes and sanctions were well known to

the Western Powers as something which, if they took these steps, would result in war. Now, those are facts that we are trying to prove. I am not asking the Tribunal at this time to draw any decision from these facts, but I think the facts should be known to you. In other words, what we are trying to show here is a course of conduct. Acts were taken with full knowledge and expectation of the results -- a provocation. In other words, these acts were deliberate, premeditated, with full knowledge of the consequences.

THE PRESIDENT: We alone must decide whether any action taken by the United States justified a war by Japan, a war of self defense. That is the issue here: whether Japan acted in self defense. We are not going to allow Washington officials to decide that for us.

MR. LOGAN: This document does not purport to show that, if the Tribunal please. We are not trying to take away the function of the Tribunal by this document. What we are trying to show -- there is nothing in this document that makes any decision such as is left to the Tribunal.

THE FRESIDENT: We would not allow them to decide that it wasn't in self defense. The matter

is beyond their province as far as we are concerned.

MR. LOGAN: This document does not make any decision as to whether those acts were in self defense or not in self defense. It merely shows knowledge of the responsible officials of the government, including the highest military and naval authorities, as to the effect of the acts which they were about to commit.

THE PRESIDENT: I think you are confusing provocation with self defense. They are tow distinct matters in the law. Provocation may lead to a mitigation of punishment or something like that, reduction of the nature of the offense some times. It may so to mitigation. That is all as far as I can judge,

MR. LOGAN: self defense also might go to a complete defense.

THE PRESIDENT: Self defense, if successfully established, obliterates the offense.

MR. LOGAN: That is what I say, complete defense.

THE PRESIDENT: I should say it negatives the offense because it prevents it from coming into existence.

MR. LOGAN: And that is what we are trying to do here, your Honor, is to present the facts so

that the Tribunal will be able to determine, first, whether there was provocation, and, second, whether the act was in self defense. In the commission of any crime with respect to the people involved, if there are two involved, the question of the state of mind of the parties is of paramount importance. In so far as provocation is concerned, the question is, did the party know what would be the actual result of the acts he was doing? What was his intent? That is what this document shows.

THE PRESIDENT: In some jurisdictions, of course, provocation may be a complete answer on charges of minor offenses, but not in offences involving death. Provocation may reduce murder to manslaughter.

MR. LOGAN: Even on that basis of mitigation, this document would be admissible.

THE PRESIDENT: You can give that later, if it becomes necessary. I think that, if we receive evidence in mitigation now, it may prolong the trial unnecessarily. We can always receive evidence of mitigation at the proper time. We have received it, I know, but at a stage when we did not expect the trial to last so long. We have the discretion.

By a majority, the Court upholds the object-

ion and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 401-B-2, corrected, which is an excerpt from Peace and War showing the effects of the 1939 moral embargo.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another embargo document. It stands in the same footing as the one which has been rejected, and I ask that this one also be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Any argument, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: All I can say, if the Tribunal please, is that if we are not permitted to show our affirmative defense here on the effects of these moral embargoes here on Japan, I do not know just what we can do. We are offering this evidence to show that the Western Powers took steps to choke off Japan economically.

THE PRESIDENT: This refers only to the export of arms to Japan, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: That's right. I believe this is the same time that we were sending some arms and ammunition and implements of war to China.

THE PRESIDENT: And your case is, because America would not supply you with arms, you were

1

15

11

12

6

17

18

20

22

21

23 24

ion and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: We offer in evidence defense document 401-B-2, corrected, which is an excerpt from Peace and War showing the effects of the 1939 moral embargo.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, this is another embargo document. It stands in the same footing as the one which has been rejected, and I ask that this one also be rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Any argument, Mr. Logan?

MR. LOGAN: All I can say, if the Tribunal

please, is that if we are not permitted to show our

affirmative defense here on the effects of these

moral embargoes here on Japan, I do not know just

what we can do. We are offering this evidence to

show that the Western Powers took steps to choke off

Japan economically.

THE PRESIDENT: This refers only to the export of arms to Japan, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: That's right. I believe this is the same time that we were sending some arms and ammunition and implements of war to China.

THE PRESIDENT: And your case is, because America would not supply you with arms, you were

18

17

3

6

10

11

12

13

14

15

20

21

23

 justified in attacking, and then goes on to require her to attack some other nation.

MR. LOGAN: That is not my point at all, your Honor. I have never made such a statement to this Tribunal. I have said that the acts of the Western Powers, enforcing embargoes and passing of legislation where Japan was strangled economically, is our defense, and that at the same time it became the bold policy of the United States to send arms, noney and materials to China who was engaged in war with Japan at that time; and that that strangulation of Japan led to the war.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 401(36) is now offered in evidence. This is an excerpt from Peace and War and contains a resume of the address by the President of the United States on "arsenal of democracy."

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, it is submitted by the prosecution that the contents of this document are irrelevant to the issues in this case as were the documents which have been rejected this afternoon relating to neutrality, arms embargo, and some other matters.

It is suggested that Japan can hardly plead, as a justification for waging war, the consideration shown by America with regard to the aggressions that were going on in different parts of the world.

THE PRESIDENT: Yes, Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: If the Tribunal please, nations act with regard to preparation for war on what their neighbors are doing.

THE PRESIDENT: This is an argument by the President, Mr. Roosevelt, in support of sending arms to Britain. At that time Japan was not in the war at all.

MR. LOGAN: That is not the only point covered in the document, your Honor.

THE PRESIDENT: It certainly was not di-

prosecution's contention is that everything Japan did was directed toward aggressive war, and I wanted to show through this document that Japan was not the only nation that was preparing for war, and that the actions of Japan when other nations were also arming does not necessarily prove aggressive war. The last part of that document shows it is not directed toward

3

4

7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15 16

17

18 19

24

22 23

24

25

20

Great Britain.

THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court upholds the objection and rejects the document.

MR. LOGAN: Defense document 401-42, an excerpt from Peace and War, is offered in evidence to show the restrictions on exports to Japan.

THE PRESIDENT: Brigadier Quilliam.

BRIGADIER QUILLIAM: May it please the Tribunal, we object to this document. It is merely another embargo document, and I urge the same grounds apply as applied to the documents previously rejected.

THE PRESIDENT: Mr. Logan.

MR. LOGAN: I would like to have a direction from the Court as to whether or not they intend to receive any evidence on this self defense -- affirmative defense of the accused in this case.

THE PRESIDENT: You cannot expect me to answer that question in that form. That is deliberately offensive. We will receive all relevant and material evidence directed to self defense, all evidence that has probative value, if there is any difference. But, in the honest opinion of the majority of the Judges today, you have not tendered any such evidence since the recess.

 MR. LOGAN: I certainly had no intention of being offensive.

THE PRESIDENT: We will hear you on every document you tender. I invited you to speak, and you put that question to me, whire was in an offensive form.

MR. LOGAN: As I said, I had no intention of being offensive, if the Tribunal please, and that I have been working on these documents, offering the best documents we have been able to find on this affirmative defense, the statements made by the responsible leaders of the United States. This one here refers to a protest by Japanese Ambassador HORINOUCHI --

THE PRESIDENT: Do not ask me any more questions like that. Just put before us reasons why this document should be accepted.

MR. LOGAN: I am stating that this document contains a statement by Japanese Ambassador HORI-NOUCHI protesting to Secretary Hull about the embargo applied on iron and steel which, I think, is material and relevant to the affirmative defense.

THE PRESIDENT: It seems to be an embargo on arms, too, or war equipment and material.

MR. LOGAN: That's right. It also refers

to that. But it goes to other material besides. THE PRESIDENT: What are they? Strategic commodities. MR. LOGAN: Machine tools, scrap iron, pig 4 iron, iron and steel manufactures, lead, aluminum 5 and a variety of others. 6 THE PRESIDENT: By a majority, the Court 7 upholds the objection and rejects the document. 8 We will adjourn until half-past nine 9 10 tomorrow morning. (Whereupon, at 1600, an adjourn-11 ment was taken until Thursday, 7 August 12 13 1947 at 0930.) 14 15 16 17 18 19 20 21 22 23 24 25

Se.